## CALT Meeting | July 2, 2018

CALT Participants: Nils Andreassen, Isaac Vanderburg, Chris Rose, Mark Masteller, Larry Hinzman, Nicole Kanayurak, Luke Hopkins, Reggie Joule, Mike Levine, Janet Reiser, Meera Kohler, Denise Michels, Sam Schimmel

State Participants: Denise Koch, Alice Edwards, Larry Hartig, David Rogers, Nikoosh Carlo, Danielle Meeker

Public:

Nils: Plan for today is to review where we're at and get any responses from people as to the current process in developing out the action plan. We'll also talk a bit more about where we need to get to in the next month. Anything to add, Nikoosh?

Nikoosh: I don't think so.

Nils: Thanks to everyone who's sent in comments so far. Comments came in a variety of forms. We've heard from 1/3 to ½ of the group. Most of the comments refer to the first half of the plan. Any high level comments on the approach so far?

Luke Hopkins: I hope that we can continue to submit comments. I hope to submit more.

Mark Masteller: I can't tell if other members of the team are commenting on the same thing that I'm submitting. It'd be nice to work to work out what other members are submitting. I found it unwieldy to understand how other people are commenting on the same kind of stuff.

Nils: Right now are people are contributing where they feel like they have something to add. Most people have been responding on specific portions and not in response to others' comments. Right now we're just bringing in content. There's not so much duplication other than people in general wanting to see more coordination. Not too many people have commented on education piece. That's an area where we're going to need more comments.

Hopkins: Have you checked in with AOGA? They said thatthey were going to have something next week. They submitted a very long public comment. Have you heard anything about their response?

Nils: The Oil & Gas Technical Advisory Panel is working through their report. They have a process on sharing the input that comes into them. I'm hoping that we see something today or tomorrow so that we can start building it into our draft and sharing it with people next week.

Hopkins: Anything from the science team?

Larry Hinzman: It would be a good nudge if I could send out a reminder with a link to the current version. Is it okay if I do that?

Nils: Yes.

Nils: We want to be able to address any red flags or concerns before the August 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting. I know it's a big lift between now and then, but I think that once we get things on paper we can identify what makes sense, what's doable, and where there might be red flags. What we have now is a comprehensive approach to addressing climate change in the state, as Larry said. It's got it all in there, now we just need to flesh out the implementation. Any questions about the timeline?

Hopkins: I think that many of the members feel crunched on getting comments back in time. Have you or Nikoosh had any discussions with the Lt. Governor about how this might be a final draft to submit to him? Working on municipal issues, we felt that we couldn't get to a final document for the legislature in time, so we left it as a "final draft". Most people were concerned that it wasn't in its final form. I have that gut feeling about getting to August 2<sup>nd</sup>. I don't know how other members feel about it.

Nils: Thanks, Luke. My understanding is that coming out of the Aug 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting, we should have a final draft in hand. What we're submitting to the Governor in September is a draft strategy which, in my mind, means that there's a lot more work to do towards a final product. What that means is that there will be a continued need for the group to look at these things. The CALT finishes after September, until the Governor says its work is done. This isn't a final product by any means. There should be some additional action after September. Especially when it comes to this action plan, there's a lot more that this group could and would like to do. I think we should anticipate doing work after that.

Masteller: Thanks Luke for that comment. I was impressed by the amount of public comment. I was curious how we anticipate incorporating some of that. I can envision team members reviewing those comments and incorporating that into their feedback, or if you see that as your job. How do you envision incorporating that – is it incumbent upon team members or what were you thinking?

Nils: The public comment that's been received isn't a process where we respond directly to it. It's there to inform your thinking and your process. We've read through it and pulled out areas where there might be gaps. Do you feel that where we're at is inconsistent with what's coming in?

Masteller: Not at all, and I like the idea of us having access to public comment. I think that our charge is higher level than developing implementation actions. If we develop good, high-level policy statements, others will develop the implementation plan. I think that we're still at a good high level. Having subcommittees might work well going forward when we're trying to incorporate it going forward. Utilizing that on a personal level as we review the document is fine by me – just want to make sure that's the plan.

Nils: That's the plan. I'm similarly impressed. It's one of those things where I wish we had more opportunity for that kind of thing. As it is, we're already pressed in getting this deliverable out. I feel that the current document is pretty comprehensive in its own right, and that we're getting there.

Masteller: I agree. Thank you.

Nils: I don't necessarily want to take up more of your time. Any final thoughts or questions that would help you between now and August or now and next Tuesday?

Meera Kohler: Has anything been done to review and catalog the comments from the public?

Nils: To some extent. I've reviewed it and made notes, but there's not a formal plan to further catalog or do anything with those.

Kohler: It'd be nice to go through them.

Chris Rose: Clarification on process: we'll have the meeting on the  $10^{th}$  and the in-person meeting on the  $2^{nd}$ . Will we have any other meetings between the  $10^{th}$  and the  $2^{nd}$ ?

Nils: Do you want to have other meetings? There probably will need to be another conversation. It might be worth planning a meeting where if there are certain red flags in here then we have a discussion on how to navigate through them.

Rose: I'm assuming that there's going to be some time between the 10<sup>th</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> for us to revise.

Nikoosh: I think that the goal was to get a reasonably clean draft to everyone two weeks before the August 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting to give people some time to look at it again and come prepared to that August 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting.

Nils: Does that go out to the public too?

Nikoosh: We could post it. I don't think that there's time for another public comment period.

Rose: So to recap, we'll have a discussion a week from tomorrow. We have some time after that to send in more comments. Could be posted to the public by July 19<sup>th</sup>.

Nils: That's our plan. Does that sound okay to everyone?

Kohler: Where are all of the public comments residing?

Nils: They're in the Dropbox link that we sent out to everyone. If you haven't had a chance to read through those then I would do so. I know that it sounds like a lot of pages, but most of them do come in as emails so most of the pages are short paragraphs that directly reference the product.

Nikoosh: You could send the link again after this meeting.

Danielle: Next listening session on July 9 from 12:00 to 2:00 PM.

Nikoosh: If we could get the word out, that would be great.

Nils: I'll put it in a paragraph form that can be forwarded.